Abstract. The visualization of medical images, e.g. Computed Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), and the utilization of measurement tools to define distances, areas and volumes are very important to health professionals. The quantitative analysis of spatial relations among structures is useful for medical diagnosis. This work describes a visualization system that provides interactive measurement tools. MRI images acquired from a phantom were used to increase system accuracy.

1. Introduction

Since the goal of the physicians usually resides in visualizing and quantifying isolated structures inside volume data, it is necessary to provide interactive tools for volume visualization and measurement of structures. Thus, the main goal of this work is to present an interactive visualization system that provides tools for 2-D distance, perimeter and area calculation.

The system is being implemented for Microsoft Windows environment using VTK [1] and Borland C++ Builder to build the interface. It allows the conversion of DICOM data sets to RAW image data, which is the file format supported. For system validation, we used a phantom, a cylindrical device composed by synthetic material and used to test and calibrate medical scanners.

2. System Architecture and Measurement Tools

System architecture is based on the MVC pattern [2], which is extensively used in interactive systems to separate the functional core from the user interface. Thus, with a new interface the system could be easily adapted to run, for example, in Linux environment.

The 3-D visualization is being done through the ray casting algorithm provided by VTK. The available measurement tools include distance between two points, region-of-interest (ROI) selection using polilines for area calculation, and ROI perimeter. All these tools are associated with a specific slice, as illustrated in figure 1.

3. Final Comments and Future Work

The developed system provides an interactive insertion of ROI, allowing real-time perimeter, distance and area calculation. Measurement results were validate with the phantom data, as shown in Figure 2, where the processed diameter is exactly the same of the real device.
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