

The Metastable Behavior of a Schmitt-Trigger

Andreas Steininger, Jürgen Maier, Robert Najvirt

Embedded Computing Systems steininger@ecs.tuwien.ac.at



Outline

- What is a Schmitt-Trigger?
- Metastable or not?
- o Marino's model
- Analysis of typical scenarios
- The promised answers
- Conclusion & future work



Why a Schmitt-Trigger?

To turn unclean signals into well-behaved ones appropriate for digital processing





Schmitt-Trigger Operation



Hysteresis is attained by making the input threshold depend on current output



Can a Schmitt-Trigger be Metastable?

- It is "just an inverter with two thresholds"...
- BUT: It must have feedback from the output
- Can this be the





Can this circuit actually work?

- Metastability filter for Muller C-element
- Where is the gain if the Schmitt-Trigger gets metastable itself?



[Polzer, Steininger, Lechner: Muller C-Element Metastability Containment, 2013]



Does this circuit work safely?

- A clock-handshake interface
- Integrators produce monotonic waveform
- "The outputs of the integrators have been augmented with Schmitt-trigger inverters to guarantee quick transitions..."
 - → What about S/T metastability?



[Martin, Nyström: Asynchronous techniques for system-on-chip design, 2006]



What about input filtering?

- Huffman's Inertial Delay Element
- Low pass at S/T input to prevent metastability
- Perfect ID allows building a perfect synchronizer
- Can this ID implementation be perfect?



• This is analyzed in Marino's paper

[Marino: The Effect of Asynchronous Inputs on Sequential Network Reliability, 1977]



Marino's Model

- Based on ideal op-amp with positive feedback
- First order low pass to limit output dynamics





Marino's Model

- Phase plane shows (meta-) stable state
- Equations for derivative of output w.r.t. time





Realistic Implementations

- We used realistic op-amp models in HSPICE simulations
 - Commercial op-amp model (EL5165)
 - CMOS implementation (With 65 nm library)





Model vs. Simulation

• They differ in quantitative details but give the same qualitative results







Strictly Monotonic Inputs



Once tripped, trajectory cannot move back to a metastable state \implies clean transitions



Monotonic Input Trace Staying Constant



Can stay indefinitely long on tripping point, *i.e.* metastable with clear HI at output rightarrow late transition



Producing Intermediate Output



With an appropriate input, the S/T can be made metastable → "constant" output depending on input; resolving to HI/LO Not what we know from latch et al. 15



Producing Arbitrary Output



Moving input while S/T resolves moves metastable voltage arbitrary waveforms while metastable



Perfect Latch Revisited





The other circuits



Works safely – monotonic input once C-element resolves







Conclusions

- S/T may become metastable
- Output voltage may have any value when metastable
- \circ strictly monotonic input \Longrightarrow clean transition
- \circ constant input at tripping point \implies late transition
- \circ non-monotonic input \Longrightarrow any waveform possible



Future Work: S/T Cascade



Needs three dimensions for the characteristic



Conclusions

- S/T may become metastable
- Output voltage may have any value when metastable
- \circ Strictly monotonic input \Longrightarrow clean transition
- \circ Constant input at tripping point \Longrightarrow late transition
- \circ Non-monotonic input \Longrightarrow any waveform possible

Thank you!